



PERRY

& Associates CPAs

PASSION *Beyond the Numbers*

**MANTUA-SHALERSVILLE FIRE DISTRICT
PORTAGE COUNTY
AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2022 - 2021**

OHIO AUDITOR OF STATE
KEITH FABER



88 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
IPAReport@ohioauditor.gov
(800) 282-0370

Board of Trustees
Mantua-Shalersville Fire District
10303 State Route 44
Mantua, OH 44255

We have reviewed the *Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures* of the Mantua-Shalersville Fire District, Portage County, prepared by Perry & Associates, Certified Public Accountants, A.C., for the period January 1, 2021 through December 31, 2022. Based upon this review, we have accepted this report in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code.

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The Mantua-Shalersville Fire District is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Keith Faber".

Keith Faber
Auditor of State
Columbus, Ohio

September 26, 2023

This page intentionally left blank.

MANTUA-SHALERSVILLE FIRE DISTRICT
PORTAGE COUNTY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>TITLE</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures.....	1

This page intentionally left blank.

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT’S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Mantua-Shalersville Fire District
Portage County
10303 State Route 44
Mantua, OH 44255

We have performed the procedures enumerated below on the Mantua-Shalersville Fire District, Portage County (the District) receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in the cash basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021 and certain compliance requirements related to those transactions and balances, included in the information provided to us by the management of the District. The District is responsible for the receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in the cash basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021 and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances included in the information provided to us by the District.

The Board of Trustees and the management of the District have agreed to and acknowledged that the procedures performed are appropriate to meet the intended purpose of providing assistance in the evaluation of the District’s receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Additionally, the Auditor of State has agreed to and acknowledged that the procedures performed are appropriate to meet their purposes. No other party acknowledged the appropriateness of the procedures. This report may not be suitable for any other purpose. The procedures performed may not address all the items of interest to a user of the report and may not meet the needs of all users of the report and, as such, users are responsible for determining whether the procedures performed are appropriate for their purposes. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in the report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

For the purposes of performing these procedures, this report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

The procedures and the associated findings are as follows:

Cash

1. We recalculated the December 31, 2022 and December 31, 2021 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the January 1, 2021 beginning fund balances for each fund recorded in the Cash Summary by Fund to the December 31, 2020 balances in the prior year audited statements. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the January 1, 2022 beginning fund balances for each fund recorded in the Cash Summary by Fund to the December 31, 2021 balances in the Cash Summary by Fund. We found no exceptions, however, we noted the Unclaimed Monies Fund was classified as an Other Custodial Fund rather than as part of the General Fund in the financial statements filed by the District in the Hinkle System in 2021. This was corrected in 2022.
3. We agreed the 2022 and 2021 bank reconciliation adjusted UAN Balances and Adjusted Bank Balances as of December 31, 2022 and 2021 to the total fund cash balances reported in the Fund Status Report and the financial statements filed by the District in the Hinkle System. The amounts agreed.

Cash (Continued)

4. We confirmed the December 31, 2022 bank account balance with the Ohio Pooled Collateral System. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2022 bank reconciliation without exception.
5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) from the December 31, 2022 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register and determined the debits were dated prior to December 31. We found no exceptions.

Property Taxes and Intergovernmental Cash Receipts

1. We selected a total of five receipt from the *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes*, State Distribution Transaction Lists (DTL) and the Portage County Auditor Vendor Invoice List from 2022 and a total of five from 2021:
 - a. We compared the amount from the above named reports to the amount recorded in the Revenue Ledger. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We inspected the Revenue Ledger to determine these receipts were allocated to the proper funds as required by Ohio Rev. Code §§ 5705.05-.06 and 5705.10. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We inspected the Revenue Ledger to determine whether the receipt was recorded proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
2. We inspected the Revenue Ledger to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2022 and 2021. The Revenue Ledger included the proper number of tax receipts for each year. We found no exceptions.

Charges for Services

1. We confirmed the amounts paid from Life Force Management, the service organization that performs the emergency medical billings, to the District during 2022 and 2021 with Life Force Management. We noted a variance of \$13,579 in 2021 with Life Force Management reporting \$272,427 and UAN reporting \$258,848 and \$356 in 2022 with Life Force Management reporting \$271,672 and UAN reporting \$271,316.
 - a. We inspected the Revenue Ledger to determine whether these receipts were allocated to the proper funds. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We inspected the Revenue Ledger to determine whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

Debt

1. From the prior audit documentation, we observed the following bonds and leases were outstanding as of December 31, 2020.
 - a. These amounts agreed to the District's January 1, 2021 balances on the summary we used in procedure 3.
 - b. We inspected the debt's documentation for all outstanding debt, and we confirmed the District does not have any debt covenants.

Issue	Principal outstanding as of December 31, 2020:
General Obligations Bond	\$265,000
Motorola Solutions, INC.	\$98,645

Debt (Continued)

2. We inquired of management and inspected the Revenue Ledger and Appropriation Ledger for evidence of debt issued during 2022 or 2021 or debt payment activity during 2022 or 2021. All debt agreed to the summary we used in procedure 3.
3. We obtained a summary of bond and lease debt activity for 2022 and 2021 and agreed principal and interest payments from the related debt amortization schedules to debt service fund payments reported in the Appropriation Ledger. We also compared the date the debt service payments were due to the date the District made the payments. We found principal and interest payments for the Motorola Communications Lease were not split between principal and interest in the District's accounting system in both 2022 and 2021. No other exceptions noted.
4. We noted the amount of debt proceeds received for 2021 from the debt documents was not recorded in the accounting system.
5. For new debt issued during 2021 we inspected the debt legislation, which stated the District must use the proceeds to purchase an ambulance. We scanned the supporting documentation and observed the District purchased a new ambulance in February 2021, however the payment was made directly to the manufacturer from the lender and was not recorded in the District's accounting system.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We selected one payroll check for five employees from 2022 and one payroll check for five employees from 2021 from the Wage Withholdings Detail Report and:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Wage Detail Report to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We inspected the fund and account codes to which the check was posted to determine the posting was allowable based on the employees' duties as documented in the employees' personnel files and minute record. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We confirmed the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
2. We selected three new employees and Board of Trustees from 2022 and three new employees and Board of Trustees from 2021 and:
 - a. We inspected the employees' and Board of Trustees' personnel files for the Retirement system, Federal, State & Local income tax withholding authorization.
 - b. We agreed the items in a above to the Employee General Information Report. We found no exceptions.

Payroll Cash Disbursements (Continued)

3. We inspected the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2022 to confirm remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period of 2022. We observed the following:

Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Due	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes & Medicare (and social security, for employees not enrolled in pension system)	January 31, 2023	December 13, 2022	\$17,697.58	\$17,697.58
State income taxes	January 17, 2023	January 10, 2023	\$2,674.53	\$2,674.53
RITA – Brecksville income tax	January 31, 2023	January 4, 2023	\$386.53	\$386.53
RITA – Kent income tax	January 31, 2023	January 4, 2023	\$87.44	\$87.44
RITA – Mantua income tax	January 31, 2023	January 4, 2023	\$159.99	\$159.99
RITA – Streetsboro income tax	January 31, 2023	January 4, 2023	\$992.12	\$992.12
Garfield School District income tax	January 17, 2023	January 4, 2023	\$10.85	\$10.85
OPERS retirement	January 30, 2023	January 10, 2023	\$1,242.80	\$1,242.80
OP&F retirement	January 31, 2023	December 13, 2022	\$25,500.69	\$25,500.69

We found no exceptions.

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

1. We selected 10 disbursements from the Appropriation Ledger for the year ended December 31, 2022 and 10 from the year ended 2021 and determined whether:
 - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Appropriation Ledger and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
 - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
 - d. The fiscal officer certified disbursements requiring certification or issued a *Then and Now Certificate*, as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.41(D). We found eight instances where the certification date was after the vendor invoice date, and there was also no evidence that a *Then and Now Certificate* was issued. Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.41(D) requires certifying at the time of a commitment, which should be on or before the invoice date, unless a *Then and Now Certificate* is used. Because we did not inspect all disbursements requiring certification, our report provides no assurance whether or not additional similar errors occurred.

Compliance – Budgetary

1. Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total approved appropriations (Ohio Rev. Code §§ 5705.38 and 5705.40) plus any carryover appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021 for the General, Fire District and Ambulance and Emergency Services funds. Expenditures did not exceed appropriations.

Compliance – Budgetary (Continued)

2. We inspected the Cash Summary by Fund Report for the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021 for negative cash fund balances. Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.10 (I) provides that money paid into a fund must be used for the purposes for which such fund is established. As a result, a negative fund cash balance indicates that money from one fund was used to cover the expenses of another. There were no funds having negative cash fund balances.

Sunshine Law Compliance

1. We obtained and inspected the District's Public Records Policy to determine the policy was in accordance with Ohio Rev. Code §§ 149.43(E)(2) and 149.43(B)(7)(c) and did not limit the number of responses that may be made to a particular person, limit the number of responses during a specified period of time, or establish a fixed period of time before it will respond unless that period is less than eight hours. We found no exceptions.
2. We selected all public records requests from the engagement period and inspected each request to determine the following:
 - a. The District was compliant and responded to the request in accordance with their adopted policy as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 149.43(B)(1). We found no exceptions.
 - b. The District did not have any denied public records requests during the engagement period.
 - c. The District did not have any public records requests with redactions during the engagement period.
3. We inquired whether the District had a records retention schedule and observed that it was readily available to the public as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 149.43(B)(2). We found no exceptions.
4. We inspected written evidence that the Public Records Policy was provided to the records custodian/manager as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 149.43(E)(2). We found no exceptions.
5. We inquired with District management and determined that the District did not have a policy manual during the engagement period therefore the public records policy could not be included as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 149.43(E)(2).
6. We inquired with District management and determined that the District did have a public records policy during the engagement period, however it was not displayed throughout all branches of the District as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 149.43(E)(2).
7. We inquired with District management and determined that the District did not have any applications for record disposal submitted to the Records Commission during the engagement period.
8. We inquired with District management and determined that the District did not have any elected officials subject to the Public Records Training requirements during the engagement period as required by Ohio Rev. Code §§ 149.43(E)(1) and 109.43(B).
9. We inspected the public notices for the public meetings held during the engagement period and determined the District notified the general public and news media of when and where meetings during the engagement period were to be held as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 121.22(F). Contrary to Ohio Rev. Code § 121.22(F) the District did not provide public notices to the general public and news media.

Sunshine Law Compliance (Continued)

10. We inspected the minutes of public meetings during the engagement period in accordance with Ohio Rev. Code § 121.22(C) and determined whether they were:
- Prepared – a file is created following the date of the meeting
 - Filed – placed with similar documents in an organized manner
 - Maintained - retained, at a minimum, for the engagement period
 - Open to public inspection – available for public viewing or request.

We found no exceptions.

11. We inspected the minutes from the engagement period in accordance with Ohio Rev. Code § 121.22(G) and determined the following:
- Executive sessions were only held at regular or special meetings.
 - The purpose for the meetings and going into an executive session (when applicable) correlated with one of the matters listed in Ohio Rev. Code § 121.22(G).
 - Formal governing board actions were adopted in open meetings.

We found no exceptions.

Other Compliance

1. Ohio Rev. Code § 117.38 requires Districts to file their financial information in the HINKLE system within 60 days after the close of the fiscal year. This statute also permits the Auditor of State to extend the deadline for filing a financial report and establish terms and conditions for any such extension. Auditor of State established policies, regarding the filing of complete financial statements, as defined in AOS Bulletin 2015-007 in the Hinkle System. We confirmed the District filed their complete financial statements, as defined by AOS Bulletin 2015-007 and Auditor of State established policy, within the allotted timeframe for the years ended December 31, 2022 and 2021 in the Hinkle system. We found no exceptions.

We were engaged by the District to perform this agreed-upon procedures engagement and conducted our engagement in accordance with the attestation standards established by the AICPA and the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination or review engagement, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively on the District's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

We are required to be independent of the District and to meet our ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the ethical requirements established by the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards* related to our agreed upon procedures engagement.



Perry and Associates
Certified Public Accountants, A.C.
Marietta, Ohio

June 30, 2023

OHIO AUDITOR OF STATE KEITH FABER



MANTUA-SHALERSVILLE FIRE DISTRICT

PORTAGE COUNTY

AUDITOR OF STATE OF OHIO CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report, which is required to be filed pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in the Office of the Ohio Auditor of State in Columbus, Ohio.



Certified for Release 10/10/2023

88 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215
Phone: 614-466-4514 or 800-282-0370

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at
www.ohioauditor.gov