



OHIO AUDITOR OF STATE  
**KEITH FABER**





# OHIO AUDITOR OF STATE KEITH FABER



88 East Broad Street  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
ContactUs@ohioauditor.gov  
(800) 282-0370

## INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Village of McConnelsville  
Morgan County  
9 West Main Street  
McConnelsville, Ohio 43756

We have performed the procedures enumerated below on the Village of McConnelsville's (the Village) receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in the cash basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020 and certain compliance requirements related to those transactions and balances, included in the information provided to us by the management of the Village. The Village is responsible for the receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in the cash basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020 and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances included in the information provided to us by the Village.

The Village Council, Mayor and the management of the Village have agreed to and acknowledged that the procedures performed are appropriate to meet the intended purpose of providing assistance in the evaluation of the Village's receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. No other party acknowledged the appropriateness of the procedures. This report may not be suitable for any other purpose. The procedures performed may not address all the items of interest to a user of the report and may not meet the needs of all users of the report and, as such, users are responsible for determining whether the procedures performed are appropriate for their purposes. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in the report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

For the purposes of performing these procedures, this report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

The procedures and the associated findings are as follows:

### Cash

1. We recalculated the December 31, 2021 and December 31, 2020 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the January 1, 2020 beginning fund balances for each opinion unit recorded in the Cash Summary by Fund Report to the December 31, 2019 balances in the prior year audited statements. We noted the General Fund and Sewer Fund January 1, 2020 beginning fund balances recorded in the Cash Summary by Fund Report were \$61 and \$45, respectively, more than the balances reported in the prior year audited statements due to an old outstanding check being posted to unclaimed monies and a check that was voided. We also agreed the January 1, 2021 beginning fund balances for each fund recorded in the Cash Summary by Fund Report to the December 31, 2020 balances in the Cash Summary by Fund Report. We found no exceptions.

### **Cash (Continued)**

3. We agreed the 2021 and 2020 bank reconciliation adjusted UAN Balances and Adjusted Bank Balances as of December 31, 2021 and 2020 to the total fund cash balances reported in the Fund Status Report and the financial statements filed by the Village in the Hinkle System. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the December 31, 2021 bank account balance with the Ohio Pooled Collateral System. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2021 bank reconciliation without exception.
5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) from the December 31, 2021 bank reconciliation:
  - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
  - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register and determined the debits were dated prior to December 31. We found no exceptions.

### **Property Taxes, Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts**

1. We selected a total of five receipts from the *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes*, State Distribution Transaction Listing (DTL), and the County Auditor Vendor Audit Trail Report for 2021 and a total of five from 2020:
  - a. We compared the amount from the above named reports to the amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We inspected the Receipt Register Report to determine these receipts were allocated to the proper funds as required by Ohio Rev. Code §§ 5705.05-.06 and 5705.10. We found no exceptions.
  - c. We inspected the Receipt Register Report to determine whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
2. We inspected the Receipt Register Report to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2021 and 2020. The Receipt Register Report included the proper number of tax receipts for each year. We found no exceptions.
3. We confirmed the individual amounts paid from the Morgan County Auditor, the Ohio Public Works Commission (OPWC), the State of Ohio and the Ohio Bureau of Workers' Compensation (BWC) to the Village during 2020 and 2021 with the Morgan County Auditor, OPWC, the State of Ohio and the Ohio BWC. We found no exceptions.
  - a. We inspected the Receipt Register Report to determine whether these receipts were allocated to the proper funds. We found no exceptions.
  - b. We inspected the Receipt Register Report to determine whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

### **Income Tax Receipts**

1. We selected five income tax returns filed during 2021 and five from 2020.
  - a. We compared the payment amount recorded on the tax return to the amount recorded on the cash register tape. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We compared the cash register tape total from procedure a. to the amount recorded as income tax receipts in the Receipt Register Report for that date. The amounts agreed.
2. We compared the date of the receipts to the date the receipts was posted in the Receipt Register Report to determine whether the receipts were recorded in the year received. We found no exceptions.

### **Income Tax Receipts (Continued)**

3. We selected five income tax refunds from 2021 and five from 2020.
  - a. We compared the refund paid from Payment Register Detail Report to the refund amount requested in the tax return. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We observed the approval by the Tax Commissioner on each of the refunds. We found no exceptions.
  - c. We observed the refunds were paid from the General Fund, as is required.

### **Other Receipts**

We selected 10 other receipts from the year ended December 31, 2021 and 10 other receipts from the year ended 2020 and:

- a. Agreed the receipt amount recorded in the Receipt Register Report to supporting documentation. The amounts agreed.
- b. Confirmed the amounts charged complied with rates in force during the period. We found no exceptions.
- c. Inspected the Receipt Register Report to determine the receipt was posted to the proper funds, and was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

### **Water and Sewer Fund**

1. We selected 10 Water and Sewer Fund collection cash receipts from the year ended December 31, 2021 and 10 Water and Sewer Fund collection cash receipts from the year ended 2020 recorded in the Receipt Register Report and determined whether the:
  - a. Receipt amount per the Receipt Register Report agreed to the amount recorded to the credit of the customer's account in the Utility Billing Journal. The amounts agreed.
  - b. Amount charged for the related billing period:
    - i. Agreed with the debit to accounts receivable in the Utility Billing Journal for the billing period. We found no exceptions.
    - ii. Complied with rates in force during the engagement period multiplied by the consumption amount recorded for the billing period, plus any applicable late penalties, plus unpaid prior billings. We found no exceptions.
  - c. Receipt was posted to the proper funds, and was recorded in the year received. We found no exceptions.
2. We observed the Summary Open AR by Account.
  - a. This report listed \$4,679 and \$4,275 of accounts receivable as of December 31, 2021 and 2020, respectively.
  - b. The Village does not have a report that reports accounts that are more than 90 days delinquent.
3. We observed the Utility Bill Adjustment Journal Consolidated.
  - a. This report listed a total of \$2,913 and \$3,559 non-cash receipts adjustments for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020, respectively.
  - b. We selected five non-cash adjustments from 2021 and five non-cash adjustments from 2020, and observed that the Village Administrator approved each adjustment.

### **Debt**

1. From the prior audit documentation, we observed the following loans were outstanding as of December 31, 2019. These amounts agreed to the Village's January 1, 2020 balances on the summary we used in procedure 3.

**Debt (Continued)**

Issue	Principal outstanding as of December 31, 2019:
OWDA Loan #5138	\$46,242
OWDA Loan #5210	\$618,875
OWDA Loan #6067	\$71,539
OWDA Loan #7336	\$302,156
OPWC Loan #CT56I	\$31,768
OPWC Loan #CT68K	\$23,747
OPWC Loan #CR15M	\$114,826
OPWC Loan #CR10N	\$41,205
OPWC Loan #CT77T	\$144,900

2. We inquired of management, and inspected the Receipt Register Report and Payment Register Detail Report for evidence of debt issued during 2021 or 2020 or debt payment activity during 2021 or 2020. All debt agreed to the summary we used in procedure 3.
3. We obtained a summary of loans debt activity for 2021 and 2020 and agreed principal and interest payments from the related debt amortization schedules to Water and Sewer funds' payments reported in the Payment Register Detail Report. We also compared the date the debt service payments were due to the date the Village made the payments. We found no exceptions.

**Payroll Cash Disbursements**

1. We selected one payroll check for five employees from 2021 and one payroll check for five employees from 2020 from the Wage Withholdings Detail Report and:
  - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Wage Detail Report to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary).
    - i. We inspected the employees' personnel files and minute record for the Retirement system, Federal, State & Local income tax withholding authorization.
    - ii. We agreed these items to the information used to compute gross and net pay related to this check.  
We found no exceptions.
  - b. We inspected the fund and account codes to which the check was posted to determine the posting was allowable based on the employees' duties as documented in the employees' personnel files. We found no exceptions.
  - c. We confirmed the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
2. We inspected the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2021 to confirm remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period during 2021. We observed the following:

**Payroll Cash Disbursements (Continued)**

<b>Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)</b>	<b>Date Due</b>	<b>Date Paid</b>	<b>Amount Due</b>	<b>Amount Paid</b>
Federal income taxes & Medicare (and social security, for employees not enrolled in pension system)	January 31, 2022	December 31, 2021	\$1,998	\$1,998
State income taxes	January 17, 2022	December 31, 2021	\$1,322	\$1,322
Village of McConnelsville income tax	February 2, 2022	December 31, 2021	\$648	\$648
Village of Roseville income tax	February 2, 2022	December 31, 2021	\$66	\$66
OPERS retirement	January 30, 2022	December 31, 2021	\$7,318	\$7,318
OP&F retirement	January 31, 2022	December 31, 2021	\$3,184	\$3,184

We found no exceptions.

- We inspected the appropriation ledger and meeting minutes to determine the Village properly credited the paid leave costs against the tax obligations and / or deferred the tax obligations to future periods. We found no exceptions.

**Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements**

We selected 10 disbursements from the Payment Register Detail Report for the year ended December 31, 2021 and 10 from the year ended 2020 and determined whether:

- The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
- The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Payment Register Detail Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
- The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
- The Fiscal Officer certified disbursements requiring certification or issued a *Then and Now Certificate*, as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.41(D). We found two instances where the certification date was after the vendor invoice date, and there was also no evidence that a *Then and Now Certificate* was issued. Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.41(D) requires certifying at the time of a commitment, which should be on or before the invoice date, unless a *Then and Now Certificate* is used. Because we did not inspect all disbursements requiring certification, our report provides no assurance whether or not additional similar errors occurred.

**Mayor's Court Transactions and Cash Balances**

- We recalculated the December 31, 2021 and December 31, 2020 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
- We compared the reconciled cash totals as of December 31, 2021 and December 31, 2020 to the Mayor's Court Fund balance reported in the Cash Summary by Fund Report. The balances agreed.
- We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of December 31, 2021 and 2020 listing of unpaid distributions as of each December 31. The amounts agreed.
- We confirmed the December 31, 2021 bank account balance with the Mayor's Court financial institution. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2021 bank reconciliation without exception.

### **Mayor's Court Transactions and Cash Balances (Continued)**

5. We selected five cases from the court cash book and agreed the payee and amount posted to the:
  - a. Duplicate receipt book.
  - b. Docket, including comparing the total fine paid to the judgment issued by the judge (i.e. mayor)
  - c. Case file.The amounts recorded in the cashbook, receipts book, docket and case file agreed.
6. From the cash book, we selected one month from the year ended December 31, 2021 and one month from the year ended 2020 and determined whether:
  - a. The monthly sum of fines and costs collected for those months agreed to the amounts reported as remitted to the Village, State or other applicable government in the following month. We found no exceptions.
  - b. The totals remitted for these two months per the cashbook agreed to the returned canceled checks. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the cashbook.

### **Compliance – Budgetary**

1. Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total approved appropriations (Ohio Rev. Code §§ 5705.38 and 5705.40) plus any carryover appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020 for the General, Fire Department Marcs Grant and Sewer funds as recorded in the Appropriation Status Report. Expenditures did not exceed appropriations.
2. We inspected the five largest interfund transfers from 2020 Revenue Status Reports and Appropriation Status Reports for compliance with Ohio Rev. Code §§ 5705.14 - .16. We found no evidence of transfers these Sections prohibit, or for which Section 5705.16 would require approval by the Tax Commissioner.
3. We inspected the Cash Summary by Fund Report for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020 for negative cash fund balance. Ohio Rev. Code § 5705.10(l) provides that money paid into a fund must be used for the purposes for which such fund is established. As a result, a negative fund cash balance indicates that money from one fund was used to cover the expenses of another. There were no funds having negative cash fund balances.

### **Sunshine Law Compliance**

1. We obtained and inspected the Village's Public Records Policy to determine the policy was in accordance with Ohio Rev. Code §§ 149.43(E)(2) and 149.43(B)(7)(c) and did not limit the number of responses that may be made to a particular person, limit the number of responses during a specified period of time, or establish a fixed period of time before it will respond unless that period is less than eight hours. We found no exceptions.
2. We selected five public records requests from the engagement period and inspected each request to determine the following:
  - a. The Village was compliant and responded to the request in accordance with their adopted policy as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 149.43(B)(1). We found no exceptions.



**Sunshine Law Compliance (Continued)**

<b>Date Request Received</b>	<b>Date Request Fulfilled</b>	<b>Policy Response Time (in Business days)</b>	<b>Actual Response Time (in Business Days)</b>
March 18, 2020	March 19, 2020	1 day	1 day
August 26, 2020	August 26, 2020	1 day	1 day
February 3, 2021	February 3, 2021	1 day	1 day
February 5, 2021	February 5, 2021	1 day	1 day
September 21, 2021	September 21, 2021	1 day	1 day

- b. The Village did not have any denied public records requests during the engagement period.
  - c. The Village did not have any public records requests with redactions during the engagement period.
3. We inquired with Village management and determined that the Village did not have a records retention schedule during the engagement period and therefore could not make it readily available to the public as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 149.43(B)(2).
  4. We inspected written evidence that the Public Records Policy was provided to the records custodian/manager as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 149.43(E)(2). We found no exceptions.
  5. We inspected the Village's policy manual and determined the public records policy was not included as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 149.43(E)(2).
  6. We observed that the Village's poster describing their Public Records Policy was displayed conspicuously in all branches of the Village as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 149.43(E)(2). We found no exceptions.
  7. We inquired with Village management and determined that the Village did not have any applications for record disposal submitted to the Records Commission during the engagement period.
  8. We inspected individual proof of attendance and determined the Fiscal Officer and two Council members successfully attended a certified three-hour Public Records Training for each term of office as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 109.43(B). We found one Council member did not attend a certified three-hour Public Records Training for his term ended December 31, 2021.
  9. We inspected the public notices for the public meetings held during the engagement period and determined the Village notified the general public and news media of when and where meetings during the engagement period were to be held as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 121.22(F). We found no exceptions.
  10. We inspected the minutes of public meetings during the engagement period in accordance with Ohio Rev. Code § 121.22(C) and determined whether they were:
    - a. Prepared – a file is created following the date of the meeting
    - b. Filed – placed with similar documents in an organized manner
    - c. Maintained - retained, at a minimum, for the engagement period
    - d. Open to public inspection – available for public viewing or request.
We found no exceptions.

### **Sunshine Law Compliance (Continued)**

11. We inspected the minutes from the engagement period in accordance with Ohio Rev. Code § 121.22(G) and determined the following:
  - a. Executive sessions were only held at regular or special meetings.
  - b. The purpose for the meetings and going into an executive session (when applicable) correlated with one of the matters listed in Ohio Rev. Code § 121.22(G).
  - c. Formal governing board actions were adopted in open meetings.We found no exceptions.

### **HB 481 / HB 614 Coronavirus Relief Fund (CRF) Compliance**

1. We inquired of management and those charged with governance and documented how the Village decided to spend their CRF allocations and how the Village documented their compliance with the CARES Act three-prong test. We then selected five transactions including payroll, non-payroll, and subgrant/subloan transactions, and determined the Village:
  - a. Spent the CRF money (including additional distributions or redistributions) in accordance with use of funds requirements and
  - b. Maintained appropriate supporting documentation.We found no exceptions.
2. We inquired with management and inspected the Appropriation Ledgers Report to determine the local government did not have an unencumbered balance of money to pay back to the county treasurer. We found no exceptions.

### **Other Compliance**

1. Ohio Rev. Code § 117.38 requires villages to file their financial information in the HINKLE system within 60 days after the close of the fiscal year. This statute also permits the Auditor of State to extend the deadline for filing a financial report and establish terms and conditions for any such extension. Auditor of State established policies, regarding the filing of complete financial statements, as defined in AOS Bulletin 2015-007 in the Hinkle System. We confirmed the Village filed their complete financial statements, as defined by AOS Bulletin 2015-007 and Auditor of State established policy within the allotted timeframe for the years ended December 31, 2021 and 2020 in the Hinkle system. We found no exceptions.
2. We inspected the Fiscal Integrity Act Portal (<http://www.ohioauditor.gov/fiscalintegrity/default.html>) to determine whether the fiscal officer obtained the training required by Ohio Rev. Code §§ 507.12 and 733.81. The Fiscal Officer obtained the required training.

We were engaged by the Village to perform this agreed-upon procedures engagement and conducted our engagement in accordance with the attestation standards established by the AICPA and the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination or review engagement, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the Village's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

We are required to be independent of the Village and to meet our ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the ethical requirements established by the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards* related to our agreed upon procedures engagement.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Keith Faber". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Keith Faber  
Auditor of State  
Columbus, Ohio

August 12, 2022

# OHIO AUDITOR OF STATE KEITH FABER



**VILLAGE OF MCCONNELSVILLE**

**MORGAN COUNTY**

**AUDITOR OF STATE OF OHIO CERTIFICATION**

This is a true and correct copy of the report, which is required to be filed pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in the Office of the Ohio Auditor of State in Columbus, Ohio.



**Certified for Release 9/6/2022**

88 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215  
Phone: 614-466-4514 or 800-282-0370

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at  
[www.ohioauditor.gov](http://www.ohioauditor.gov)