VILLAGE OF SUGARCREEK TUSCARAWAS COUNTY

Agreed Upon Procedures

For the Years Ended December 31, 2019 and 2018





88 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 IPAReport@ohioauditor.gov (800) 282-0370

Village Council Village of Sugarcreek 410 S Broadway Street Sugarcreek, Ohio 44681

We have reviewed the *Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures* of the Village of Sugarcreek, Tuscarawas County, prepared by Charles E. Harris & Associates, Inc., for the period January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2019. Based upon this review, we have accepted this report in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code.

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The Village of Sugarcreek is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

Keith Faber Auditor of State Columbus, Ohio

July 21, 2020



Village of Sugarcreek Tuscarawas County For the Years Ended December 31, 2019 and 2018

Table of Contents

Title 1	Page
Independent Accountant's Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures	1



Office phone - (216) 575-1630

Fax - (216) 436-2411

Charles E. Harris & Associates, Inc.

Certified Public Accountants

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Village of Sugarcreek Tuscarawas County 410 S Broadway Street Sugarcreek, Ohio 44681

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Village Council, Mayor and the management of the Village of Sugarcreek, Tuscarawas County, Ohio (the Village) and the Auditor of State, on the receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in the Village's cash basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018 and certain compliance requirements related to those transactions and balances, included in the information provided to us by the management of the Village. The Village is responsible for the receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in the cash basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018 and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances included in the information provided to us by the Village. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

Cash and Investments

- 1. We recalculated the December 31, 2019 and December 31, 2018 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We agreed the January 1, 2018 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Cash Balance Statement to the December 31, 2017 balances in the documentation in the prior year Agreed-Upon Procedures working papers. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the January 1, 2019 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Cash Balance Statement to the December 31, 2018 balances in the Fund Cash Balance Statement. We found no exceptions.
- We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2019 and 2018 fund cash balances reported in the Fund Cash Balance Statement and the financial statements filed by the Village in the Hinkle System. The amounts agreed.
- 4. We observed the year-end bank balances on the financial institution's website. The balances did not agree to the cash amounts reported in the bank reconciliations due to outstanding payroll items. The Village posted payroll and related items before year-end before such amounts actually cleared the bank after year-end. We agreed the amounts to the subsequent year bank statement.
- 5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) from the December 31, 2019 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January, February, March and May financial institution's website transaction listing. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to December 31. There were no exceptions.

- We selected all reconciling credits (such as deposits in transit) from the December 31, 2019 bank reconciliation:
 - a. We traced each credit to the subsequent January financial institution's website transaction listing. We found no exceptions.
 - b. We agreed the credit amounts to the Customer History Report. Each credit was recorded as a December receipt for the same amount recorded in the reconciliation.
- 7. We traced interbank account transfers occurring in December of 2018 to the accounting records and bank statements to determine if they were properly recorded. We found no exceptions.
- 8. We inspected investments held at December 31, 2019 and December 31, 2018 to determine that they:
 - a. Were of a type authorized by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 135.13, 135.14 or 135.144. We found no exceptions.
 - b. Mature within the prescribed time limits noted in Ohio Rev. Code Section 135.13 or 135.14. We noted no exceptions.

Property Taxes and Intergovernmental Cash Receipts

- 1. We selected a total of five receipts from the *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes*, State Distribution Transaction Listing (DTL), and the County Auditor's Cross Reference Report by Vendor Number for 2019 and a total of five from 2018:
 - a. We compared the amount from the above named reports to the amount recorded in the Revenue History Report. The amounts agreed.
 - b. We inspected the Revenue History Report to determine these receipts were allocated to the proper funds as required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.05-.06 and 5705.10. We found no exceptions.
 - c. We inspected the Revenue History Report to determine whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We inspected the Revenue History Report to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2019 and 2018. The Revenue History Report included the proper number of tax receipts for each year.

Income Tax Receipts

- 1. We obtained the December 31, 2019 and 2018 Total Distributions reports submitted by the Regional Income Tax Agency (RITA), the agency responsible for collecting income taxes on behalf of the Village. We agreed the total gross income taxes per year to the Village's Revenue History Report. The gross amounts posted did not agree to the gross amounts on the Total Distribution reports for 5 months in 2018 and 2 months in 2019 resulting in an understatement of total income tax receipts. The fees charged by RITA were underreported by the same amount for a net effect of zero.
- 2. We compared the allocation of income tax receipts for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018 to the Village's funds according to the allocation requirements of Ordinance No. 13-2073. The allocation agreed with the percentages the Ordinance requires.

Water and Sewer Fund

1. We selected 10 Water and Sewer Fund collection cash receipts from the year ended December 31, 2019 and 10 Water and Sewer Fund collection cash receipts from the year ended December 31, 2018 recorded in the Revenue History Report and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount per the Revenue History Report agreed to the amount recorded to the credit of the customer's account in the Customer Balance Report. The amounts agreed.
- b. Amount charged for the related billing period:
 - i. Agreed with the debit to accounts receivable in the Customer Balance Report for the billing period. We found no exceptions.
 - ii. Complied with rates in force during the audit period multiplied by the consumption amount recorded for the billing period, plus any applicable late penalties, plus unpaid prior billings. We found no exceptions.
- c. Receipt was posted to the proper funds, and was recorded in the year received. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We observed the Aged Customer Balance Report.
 - a. This report listed \$7,611 and \$107,317 of accounts receivable as of December 28, 2019 and December 24, 2018 (latest available), respectively.
 - b. Of the total receivables reported in the preceding procedure, \$104 and \$463 were recorded as more than 90 days delinquent.
- 3. We observed the Aged Customer Balance Report.
 - a. This report listed a total of \$1,354 and \$2,535 non-cash receipts adjustments for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018, respectively.
 - b. We selected five non-cash adjustments from 2019 and five non-cash adjustments from 2018, and observed that the Village Administrator approved each adjustment.

Debt

1. From the prior agreed-upon procedures documentation, we observed the following bonds and loans were outstanding as of December 31, 2017. These amounts agreed to the Village's January 1, 2018 balances on the summary we used in procedure 3.

Issue	Principal outstanding as of December 31, 2017:		
OPWC #CT37D	\$105,753		
OPWC #CN12K	77,046		
OWDA #3461	77,576		
OWDA #5124	164,551		
Huntington GO Bond \$665,000	75,000		
Huntington GO Bond \$330,000	130,000		
Baltic Loan	43,478		

- We inquired of management, and inspected the Revenue History Report and Expense History Report for evidence of debt issued during 2019 or 2018 or debt payment activity during 2019 or 2018. All debt agreed to the summary we used in procedure 3.
- 3. We obtained a summary of bond and loan debt activity for 2019 and 2018 and agreed principal and interest payments from the related debt amortization schedules to appropriate fund payments reported in the Expense History Report. We also compared the date the debt service payments were due to the date the Village made the payments. We found one exception in which the Village understated the principal amount by \$169 and overstated the interest amount by \$169.
- 4. For new debt issued during 2019 and 2018, we inspected the debt legislation, which stated the Village must use the proceeds to purchase a street sweeper. We inspected the Expense History Report and observed the Village purchased a street sweeper in November of 2018.

Payroll Cash Disbursements

- 1. We selected one payroll check for five employees from 2019 and one payroll check for five employees from 2018 from the Payroll Summary Journal Report and:
 - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Payroll Summary Journal Report to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary). We found no exceptions.
 - b. We inspected the fund and account code to which the check was posted to determine the posting was reasonable based on the employees' duties as documented in the employees' personnel files and/or minute records. We also confirmed the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We inspected the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2019 to confirm remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period during 2019. We observed the following:

Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Due	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes & Medicare (and social security, for employees not enrolled in pension system)	January 31, 2020	December 17, 2019	\$12,702.26	\$12,702.26
State income taxes	January 15, 2020	December 20, 2019	\$2,045.76	\$2,045.76
Village of Sugarcreek income tax	January 31, 2020	January 15, 2020	\$1,257.45	\$1,257.45
OPERS retirement	January 30, 2020	January 6, 2020	\$17,637.58	\$17,637.58
OP&F retirement	January 31, 2020	January 6, 2020	\$9,949.94	\$9,949.94

Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

- 1. We selected 10 disbursements from the Check Register Report for the year ended December 31, 2019 and 10 from the year ended December 31, 2018 and determined whether:
 - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
 - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Check Register Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
 - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
 - d. The fiscal officer certified disbursements requiring certification or issued a *Then and Now Certificate*, as required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D). We found no exceptions.

Compliance - Budgetary

- 1. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total approved appropriations (Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38 and 5705.40) plus any carryover appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018 for the General, Fire and EMS Levy, and Sewer fund, as recorded in the Expense Statement by Account Report. We observed no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations in 2018. In 2019, General Fund expenditures exceeded appropriations by \$67,334, Fire and EMS Levy expenditures exceeded appropriations by \$60,967, and Sewer Fund expenditures exceeded appropriations by \$14,791. This was due to the incorrect appropriation amounts being used on the official resolution rather than the intended amounts.
- 2. We inspected the 2019 and 2018 Revenue Statement by Account Reports and Expense Statement by Account Reports for evidence of interfund transfers exceeding \$10,000 which Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.14 .16 restrict. We found no evidence of transfers these Sections prohibit, or for which Section 5705.16 would require approval by the Tax Commissioner.
- 3. We inspected the Fund Cash Balance Statement Report for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018 for negative cash fund balance. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.10(I) provides that money paid into a fund must be used for the purposes for which such fund is established. As a result, a negative fund cash balance indicates that money from one fund was used to cover the expenses of another. There were no funds having negative cash fund balances.

Sunshine Law Compliance

- 1. We obtained and inspected the Village's Public Records Policy to determine the policy did not limit the number of responses that may be made to a particular person, limit the number of responses during a specified period of time, or establish a fixed period of time before it will respond unless that period is less than eight hours. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We inquired with Village management and determined that the Village did not have any completed public records requests during the engagement period.
- 3. We inquired with Village management and determined that the Village did not have any denied public records requests during the engagement period.
- 4. We inquired with Village management and determined that the Village did not have any public records requests with redactions during the engagement period.
- 5. We inquired whether the Village has a records retention policy, and observed that it is readily available to the public. We found no exceptions.
- 6. We inspected written evidence that the Public Records Policy was provided to the records custodian/manager. We found no exceptions.
- 7. We inquired with Village management to determine that the public records policy was included in the Village's policy manual. The public records policy was not included in the Village's policy manual.
- 8. We inquired whether the Village's poster describing their Public Records Policy was displayed conspicuously in all branches of the Village. The policy was not displayed in all branches of the Village.

- 9. We inquired with Village management and determined that the Village did not have any applications for record disposal submitted to the Records Commission during the engagement period.
- 10. We inquired with Village management and determined that the Village did not have any elected officials subject to the Public Records Training requirements during the engagement period.
- 11. We inspected the public notices for the public meetings held during the engagement period and determined the Village notified the general public and news media of when and where meetings during the engagement period are to be held. We found no exceptions.
- 12. We inspected the minutes of public meetings during the engagement period and determined whether they were:
 - a. Prepared a file is created following the date of the meeting
 - b. Filed placed with similar documents in an organized manner
 - c. Maintained retained, at a minimum, for the engagement period
 - d. Open to public inspection available for public viewing or request.

We found no exceptions.

- 13. We inspected the minutes from the engagement period and determined the following:
 - a. Executive sessions were only held at regular or special meetings.
 - b. The purpose for the meetings and going into an executive session (when applicable) correlated with one of the matters listed in Ohio Rev. Code Section 121.22(G).
 - c. Formal governing board actions were adopted in open meetings.

We found no exceptions.

Other Compliance

- 1. Ohio Rev. Code Section 117.38 requires villages to file their financial information in the HINKLE system within 60 days after the close of the fiscal year. This statute also permits the Auditor of State to extend the deadline for filing a financial report and establish terms and conditions for any such extension. The Auditor of State established policies, regarding the filing of complete financial statements, as defined in AOS Bulletin 2015-007 in the Hinkle System. We confirmed the Village filed their complete financial statements, as defined by AOS Bulletin 2015-007 and the Auditor of State established policy within the allotted timeframe for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018 in the Hinkle system. There were no exceptions.
- 2. For all credit card accounts we obtained:
 - copies of existing internal control policies.
 - a list of authorized users, and
 - a list of all credit card account transactions.
 - a. We inspected the established policy obtained above and determined it is:
 - i. in compliance with the HB 312 statutory requirements, and
 - ii. implemented by the entity.

We found no exceptions.

- b. We selected 1 credit card transaction from each account for testing. For selected transactions we inspected documentation to determine that:
 - i. Use was by an authorized user within the guidelines established in the policy, and
 - ii. Each transaction was supported with original invoices and for a proper public purpose.

We found no exceptions.

- c. We selected 3 credit card statements from each credit card account for testing. For selected statements we inspected documentation to determine that:
 - i. No unpaid beginning balance was carried forward to the current billing cycle,
 - ii. Ending statement balance was paid in full, and
 - iii. Statement contained no interest or late fees.

We found no exceptions.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination or review, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the Village's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is to provide assistance in the evaluation of the Village's receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2019 and 2018, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances and is not suitable for any other purpose.

Charles Having Assarciation

Charles E. Harris and Associates, Inc. May 19, 2020





VILLAGE OF SUGARCREEK

TUSCARAWAS COUNTY

AUDITOR OF STATE OF OHIO CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report, which is required to be filed pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in the Office of the Ohio Auditor of State in Columbus, Ohio.



Certified for Release 8/4/2020