

# VILLAGE OF MOUNT EATON WAYNE COUNTY

AGREED UPON PROCEDURES

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMEBR 31, 2020 AND 2019



88 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 IPAReport@ohioauditor.gov (800) 282-0370

Village Council Village of Mount Eaton PO Box 287 Mt. Eaton, OH 44659

We have reviewed the *Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures* of the Village of Mount Eaton, Wayne County, prepared by BHM CPA Group, Inc., for the period January 1, 2019 through December 31, 2020. Based upon this review, we have accepted this report in lieu of the audit required by Section 117.11, Revised Code.

Our review was made in reference to the applicable sections of legislative criteria, as reflected by the Ohio Constitution, and the Revised Code, policies, procedures and guidelines of the Auditor of State, regulations and grant requirements. The Village of Mount Eaton is responsible for compliance with these laws and regulations.

Keith Faber Auditor of State Columbus, Ohio

November 16, 2021



# **VILLAGE OF MOUNT EATON**

Wayne County
For the Years Ended December 31, 2020 and 2019
Table of Contents

<u>TITLE</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures	1





#### INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Village of Mount Eaton Wayne County PO Box 287 Mt. Eaton, OH 44659

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Village Council, Mayor and the management of the Village of Mount Eaton (the Village) and the Auditor of State, on the receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in the Villages cash basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019 and certain compliance requirements related to those transactions and balances, included in the information provided to us by the management of the Village. The Village is responsible for the receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in the cash basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019 and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances included in the information provided to us by the Village. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

## Cash

- 1. We recalculated the December 31, 2020 and December 31, 2019 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We agreed the January 1, 2019 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Summary Report to the December 31, 2018 balances in the prior year documentation in the prior year Agreed-Upon Procedures working papers. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the January 1, 2020 beginning fund balances recorded in the Fund Summary Report to the December 31, 2019 balances in the Fund Status Report. We found no exceptions.
- We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the December 31, 2020 and 2019 fund cash balances reported in the Fund Status Report and the financial statements filed by the Village in the Hinkle System. The amounts agreed.
- 4. We observed the year-end bank balances on the financial institution's website. The balances agreed. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2020 bank reconciliation without exception.
- 5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) from the December 31, 2020 bank reconciliation:
  - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent January bank statement. We found no exceptions.
  - b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register and determined the debits were dated prior to December 31. There were no exceptions.

# Property Taxes, Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts

- 1. We selected a total of five receipts from the *Statement of Semiannual Apportionment of Taxes*, State Distribution Transaction Listing (DTL), and the County Confirmation for 2020 and a total of five from 2019:
  - a. We compared the amount from the above named reports to the amount recorded in the Receipt Detail. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We inspected the Receipt Detail to determine these receipts were allocated to the proper funds as required by Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.05-.06 and 5705.10. We found no exceptions.
  - c. We inspected the Receipt Detail to determine whether the receipt was recorded in the proper year. The receipt was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We inspected the Revenue Ledger to determine whether it included two real estate tax receipts for 2020 and 2019. The Revenue Ledger included the proper number of tax receipts for each year.
- 3. We confirmed the individual amounts paid from the Ohio Water Development Authority (OWDA) to the Village during 2019 and 2020 with the OWDA website. We found no exceptions.
  - a. We inspected the Revenue Ledger to determine whether these receipts were allocated to the proper funds. We found no exceptions.
  - b. We inspected the Revenue Ledger to determine whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found loan proceeds received in 2019 in the amount of \$1,302 were recorded in 2020.

# **Income Tax Receipts**

- 1. We selected five income tax returns filed during 2020 and five from 2019.
  - a. We compared the payment amount recorded on the tax return to the amount recorded on the deposit slip. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We compared the cash register tape total from procedure a. to the amount recorded as income tax receipts in the Receipt Detail Report for that date. The amounts agreed.
- 2. We compared the date of the receipts to the date the receipts was posted in the Receipt Detail Report to determine whether the receipts were recorded in the year received. We found no exceptions.
- 3. We selected all income tax refunds from 2020 and five from 2019.
  - a. We compared the refund paid from Payment Register Detail Report to the refund amount requested in the tax return. The amounts agreed.
  - b. We observed there was no approval on any of the refunds. However, per discussion with the fiscal officer, all refunds are brought before council at the same time the bills are for approval and we observed in the minutes that all bills were approved by council. This appears reasonable given the activity of the Village.
  - c. We observed the refunds were paid from the General Fund, as is required.

#### Water and Wastewater Fund

- 1. We selected 10 Water and Wastewater Fund collection cash receipts from the year ended December 31, 2020 and 10 Water and Wastewater Fund collection cash receipts from the year ended 2019 from the customer receipt stubs and determined whether the:
  - a. Receipt amount per the customer receipt stub agreed to the amount recorded to the credit of the customer's account in the Customer Usage Report The amounts agreed.
  - b. Amount charged for the related billing period:
    - i. Agreed with the debit to accounts receivable in the Customer Usage Report for the billing period. We found no exceptions.
    - ii. Complied with rates in force during the audit period multiplied by the consumption amount recorded for the billing period, plus any applicable late penalties, plus unpaid prior billings. We found no exceptions.
  - c. Receipt was posted to the proper funds, and was recorded in the year received. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We observed the Aged Trial Balance.
  - a. This report listed \$14,120.67 and \$16,741.94 of accounts receivable as of December 31, 2020 and 2019, respectively.
  - b. Of the total receivables reported in the preceding procedure, \$1,481.40 and \$1,671.59 were recorded as more than 90 days delinquent.
- 3. We observed the Adjustment Billing Journal.
  - a. This report listed a total of \$6,026.33 and \$1,717.69 non-cash receipts adjustments for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019, respectively.
  - b. We selected five non-cash adjustments from 2020 and five non-cash adjustments from 2019, and observed that the Village Administrator and Council gave approval for large adjustments. The Fiscal Officer provided approval on adjustments related to billing errors and late fee forgiveness. This appears reasonable given the activity of the Village.

#### **Debt**

1. From the prior agreed-upon procedures documentation, we observed the following bonds were outstanding as of December 31, 2018. We noted that the balance noted in the prior year agree-upon procedures documentation is \$30 more than the Village's January 1, 2019 balances on the summary we used in procedure 3.

Issue				Principal outstanding as of December 31, 2018:
Sewage Bonds	System	Mortgage	Revenue	576,564

- 2. We inquired of management, and inspected the Revenue Ledger and Payment Register Detail Report for evidence of debt issued during 2020 or 2019 or debt payment activity during 2020 or 2019. All debt agreed to the summary we used in procedure 3.
- 3. We obtained a summary of bonds and loans debt activity for 2020 and 2019 and agreed principal and interest payments from the related debt amortization schedule to water and wastewater fund payments reported in the Payment Register Detail Report. We noted that the 2019 principal payment was \$17 less than what is listed on the amortization schedule. Per discussion with the fiscal officer, the Village has made additional payments in the past, therefore, the interest amount changes from year to year and will not agree to the amortization schedule. An amortization schedule for the Village's OWDA Loan 8495 has not been made available.

- 4. We agreed the amount of debt proceeds from the debt documents to amounts recorded in the Water fund per the Revenue Ledger. The amounts agreed.
- 5. For new debt issued during 2020 and 2019, we inspected the debt legislation, which stated the Village must use the proceeds for a Water Treatment Plant and Wells. We inspected the Payment Register Detail Report and observed the Village paid for services related to the project in March, June, August, September, October and November 2020.

# **Payroll Cash Disbursements**

- 1. We selected one payroll check for five employees from 2020 and one payroll check for five employees from 2019 from the Employee Payroll Register and:
  - a. We compared the hours and pay rate, or salary recorded in the Employee Payroll Register to supporting documentation (timecard, legislatively or statutorily-approved rate or salary).
    - i. We inspected the employees' personnel files for the Retirement system, Federal, State & Local income tax withholding authorization.
    - ii. We agreed these items to the information used to compute gross and net pay related to this check. We found no exceptions.
  - b. We recomputed gross and net pay and agreed it to the amount recorded in the payroll register. We found no exceptions.
  - c. We inspected the fund and account codes to which the check was posted to determine the posting was allowable based on the employees' duties as documented in the employees' personnel files. We found no exceptions.
  - d. We confirmed the payment was posted to the proper year. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We inspected the last remittance of tax and retirement withholdings for the year ended December 31, 2020 to confirm remittances were timely paid, and if the amounts paid agreed to the amounts withheld, plus the employer's share where applicable, during the final withholding period during 2020. We observed the following:

Withholding (plus employer share, where applicable)	Date Due	Date Paid	Amount Due	Amount Paid
Federal income taxes & Medicare (and social security, for employees not enrolled in pension system)	January 31, 2021	January 7, 2021	1,625.48	1,625.48
State income taxes	January 15, 2021	January 14, 2021	396.15	396.15
Village of Mount Eaton income tax	January 31, 2021	January 11, 2021	302.79	302.79
OPERS retirement	January 30, 2021	January 11, 2021	1,061.24	1,061.24

# **Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements**

- 1. We selected 10 disbursements from the Payment Register Detail Report for the year ended December 31, 2020 and 10 from the year ended 2019 and determined whether:
  - a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.
  - b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Payment Register Detail Report and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
  - c. The payment was posted to a fund consistent with the restricted purpose for which the fund's cash can be used. We found no exceptions.
  - d. The fiscal officer certified disbursements requiring certification or issued a *Then and Now Certificate*, as required by Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D). We found seven instances where the certification date was after the vendor invoice date, and there was also no evidence that a *Then and Now Certificate* was issued. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(D) requires certifying at the time of a commitment, which should be on or before the invoice date, unless a *Then and Now Certificate* is used. Because we did not inspect all disbursements requiring certification, our report provides no assurance whether or not additional similar errors occurred.

# **Mayors Court Transactions and Cash Balances**

- 1. We recalculated the December 31, 2020 and December 31, 2019 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
- 2. We compared the reconciled cash totals as of December 31, 2020 and December 31, 2019 to the Mayor's Court Fund balance reported in the Fund Status Reports. We noted a \$33.69 difference between the reconciliation and Fund Status Report in 2019.
- 3. We observed the year-end bank balance on the financial institution's website. The balance agreed. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the December 31, 2020 bank reconciliation without exception.
- 4. We selected five cases from the court cash book and agreed the payee and amount posted to the:
  - a. Duplicate receipt book.
  - b. Docket, including comparing the total fine paid to the judgment issued by the judge (i.e. mayor)
  - c. Case file.

The amounts recorded in the cash book, receipts book, docket and case file agreed.

- 5. From the cash book, we selected April from the year ended December 31, 2020 and October from the year ended 2019 and determined whether:
  - a. The monthly sum of fines and costs collected for those months agreed to the amounts reported as remitted to the Village, State or other applicable government in the following month. We found no exceptions.
  - b. The totals remitted for these two months per the cash book agreed to the returned canceled checks. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the cash book. We found no exceptions.

# Compliance - Budgetary

- 1. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.41(B) prohibits expenditures (disbursements plus certified commitments) from exceeding appropriations. We compared total expenditures to total approved appropriations (Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.38 and 5705.40) plus any carryover appropriations for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019 for the General, Street Maintenance & Repair and Water fund, as recorded in the Appropriation Ledger Report. We observed no funds for which expenditures exceeded appropriations.
- 2. We inspected interfund transfers from 2020 and 2019 Interfund Transfers Listing Reports for compliance with Ohio Rev. Code Sections 5705.14 .16. We found no evidence of transfers these Sections prohibit, or for which Section 5705.16 would require approval by the Tax Commissioner.
- 3. We inspected the Fund Status Report for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019 for negative cash fund balance. Ohio Rev. Code Section 5705.10(I) provides that money paid into a fund must be used for the purposes for which such fund is established. As a result, a negative fund cash balance indicates that money from one fund was used to cover the expenses of another. There were no funds having negative cash fund balances.

## **Sunshine Law Compliance**

- 1. We inquired with Village management and determined that the Village did not have a public records policy during the engagement period as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 149.43(E)(2).
- 2. We inquired with Township management and determined that the Township did not have any public record requests (completed, denied, or redacted) during the engagement period.
- 3. We inquired with Village management and determined that the Village did not have a records retention schedule during the engagement period and therefore could not make it readily available to the public as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 149.43(B)(2).
- 4. We inquired with Village management and determined that the Village did not have a public records policy during the engagement period therefore could not provide it to the records custodian/manager as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 149.43(E)(2).
- 5. We inquired with Village management and determined that the Village did not have a public records policy during the engagement period therefore it could not be included in a policy manual as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 149.43(E)(2).
- 6. We inquired with Village management and determined that the Village did not have a public records policy during the engagement period therefore it could not be displayed in all the branches of the Village as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 149.43(E)(2).
- 7. We inquired with Village management and determined that the Village did not have any applications for record disposal submitted to the Records Commission during the engagement period.
- 8. We inspected individual training certificates and determined whether each elected official in accordance with Ohio Rev. Code § 149.43(E)(1) (or his/her designee) successfully attended a certified three-hour Public Records Training for each term of office as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 109.43(B). We found no exceptions.

- 9. We inspected the public notices for the public meetings held during the engagement period and determined the Village notified the general public and news media of when and where meetings during the engagement period were to be held as required by Ohio Rev. Code § 121.22(F). We found no exceptions.
- 10. We inspected the minutes of public meetings during the engagement period in accordance with Ohio Rev. Code § 121.22(C) and determined whether they were:
  - a. Prepared a file is created following the date of the meeting
  - b. Filed placed with similar documents in an organized manner
  - c. Maintained retained, at a minimum, for the engagement period
  - d. Open to public inspection available for public viewing or request.

We found no exceptions.

- 11. We inspected the minutes from the engagement period in accordance with Ohio Rev. Code § 121.22(G) and determined the following:
  - a. Executive sessions were only held at regular or special meetings.
  - b. The purpose for the meetings and going into an executive session (when applicable) correlated with one of the matters listed in Ohio Rev. Code Section 121.22(G).
  - c. Formal governing board actions were adopted in open meetings.

We found no exceptions.

# HB 481 / HB 614 Coronavirus Relief Fund (CRF) Compliance

- 1. We inquired of management and those charged with governance and documented how the Village decided to spend their CRF allocations and how the Village evaluated and documented their compliance with the CARES Act three-prong test. We then selected five payroll, non-payroll, and subgrant/subloan transactions. and determined the Village:
  - Spent the CRF money (including additional distributions or redistributions) in accordance with use of funds requirements and
  - Maintained appropriate supporting documentation.

We found no exceptions.

2. We recalculated the unencumbered balance the local government paid back to the county treasurer and determined the proper amount was repaid. We also compared the date of the repayment to the required date of November 20, 2020 and determined the payment was made in a timely manner. We found no exceptions.

## **Other Compliance**

1. Ohio Rev. Code Section 117.38 requires villages to file their financial information in the HINKLE system within 60 days after the close of the fiscal year. This statute also permits the Auditor of State to extend the deadline for filing a financial report and establish terms and conditions for any such extension. Auditor of State established policies, regarding the filing of complete financial statements, as defined in AOS Bulletin 2015-007 in the Hinkle System. We confirmed the Village filed their complete financial statements, as defined by AOS Bulletin 2015-007 and Auditor of State established policy within the allotted timeframe for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019 in the Hinkle system. There were no exceptions.

- 2. For all credit card accounts we obtained:
  - · copies of existing internal control policies,
  - a list(s) of authorized users, and
  - a list of all credit card account transactions.
  - a. We inspected the established policy obtained above and determined it is:
    - i. in compliance with the HB 312 statutory requirements, and
    - ii. implemented by the entity.

We found no exceptions.

- b. We selected 1 credit card transaction from each account for testing. For selected transactions we inspected documentation to determine that:
  - i. Use was by an authorized user within the guidelines established in the policy, and
  - ii. Each transaction was supported with original invoices and for a proper public purpose.

We found no exceptions.

- c. We selected 3 credit card statements from each credit card account for testing. For selected statements we inspected documentation to determine that:
  - i. No unpaid beginning balance was carried forward to the current billing cycle,
  - ii. Ending statement balance was paid in full, and
  - iii. Statement contained no interest or late fees.

We found no exceptions.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination or review, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the Village's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is to provide assistance in the evaluation of the Village's receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances and is not suitable for any other purpose.

BHM CPA Group, Inc.

BHM CPA Group

Piketon, Ohio June 25, 2021



# **VILLAGE OF MOUNT EATON**

## **WAYNE COUNTY**

## **AUDITOR OF STATE OF OHIO CERTIFICATION**

This is a true and correct copy of the report, which is required to be filed pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in the Office of the Ohio Auditor of State in Columbus, Ohio.



Certified for Release 11/18/2021

88 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215 Phone: 614-466-4514 or 800-282-0370