



Dave Yost • Auditor of State



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

Independent Accountants' Report on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

Ohio Department of Medicaid
50 West Town Street, Suite 400
Columbus, Ohio 43215

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Ohio Department of Medicaid (ODM), on the Medicaid ICF-IID Cost Report of Res-Care Ohio, Inc. DBA Morning View Home #2 (hereafter referred to as the Provider) for the period January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015. The Provider's management is responsible for preparing the Medicaid ICF-IID Cost Report. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of ODM. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures enumerated below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

Unless otherwise identified in the procedure, this report only describes exceptions exceeding \$500 and resulting in decreased costs.

Occupancy and Usage

1. We compared the number of patient days for Medicaid and non-Medicaid patients from the Provider's Census Report by month to those reported on *Schedule A-1, Summary of Inpatient Days* to determine if the Provider's patient days were greater than those reported. We also footed the Provider's census report for accuracy. We found no variances.
2. We selected five residents' medical records and compared the total days of care for December 2015 with the inpatient days reported on the Census Report by month and *Schedule A-1* to determine if total patient days were greater than those reported. We also determined if the Provider included any waiver respite days as Medicaid or Medicare days and, if bed hold days in excess of 30 in a calendar year, it received the proper authorization on form JFS 09402 in accordance with Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7-08. We found no variances and no misclassified waiver respite days or unauthorized bed hold days.
3. We compared the number of reimbursed Medicaid days per the Medicaid Information Technology System (MITS) with the total Medicaid days reported on *Schedule A-1* to identify if reimbursed Medicaid days were greater than total Medicaid days. We found that total Medicaid days reported equaled the Medicaid reimbursed days.

Medicaid Paid Claims

1. We selected paid claims for five residents for December 2015 and compared the reimbursed days to the days documented per the resident's medical records. We determined if the Provider's documentation met the general requirements of CMS Publication 15-1, Chapter 23, and Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7-12, if the days billed met the specific requirements of Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7-08(C) to (I) as an occupied or bed hold day, and Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7-15 for the payment adjustment requirements for resident's admission, discharge or death. We found no instances of non-compliance.

Revenue

1. We compared all revenues on the Provider's Detailed General Ledger Report with those revenues reported on *Attachment 1, Revenue Trial Balance* to determine if all revenues were reported in accordance with the Appendix to Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7-16 and CMS Publication 15-1. We found no differences.
2. We scanned the Provider's Detailed General Ledger Report for any revenue offsets or applicable credits which were not reported on *Attachment 2, Adjustments to Trial Balance; Schedule B-1, Other Protected Costs; Schedule B-2, Direct Care Cost Center; and Schedule C, Indirect Cost Care Center* to offset corresponding expenses in accordance with CMS Publication 15-1, Chapters 1, 6, and 8. We did not identify any revenue offsets/applicable credits.

Non-Payroll Expenses

1. We compared all non-payroll expenses reported on *Schedule B-1, Schedule B-2, and Schedule C* to the Provider's Detailed General Ledger Report. We found no variances.
2. We reviewed the allocation methodology used in the Provider's Home Office Allocation schedule allocating costs on *Schedule B-1, Schedule B-2 and Schedule C* and determined if it was reasonable, allowable, related to residential care, and properly classified in accordance with Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7 and CMS Publication 15-1, Section 2150. We found no inconsistencies or improper allocation methodologies.
3. We scanned the Provider's Detailed General Ledger Report and selected 20 non-payroll expenses that were reported on *Schedule B-1; Schedule B-2; Schedule C; and Exhibit 3, Home Office Trial Balance* to determine if supporting documentation was maintained, the costs were properly allocated, classified, and allowable per Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7 and CMS Publication 15-1. We reported variances in Appendix A.

We also scanned for any unreported contract costs which would require reporting on *Schedule C-3, Costs of Services from Related Parties*. We found no unreported contracts.

4. We did not compare the 2015 non-payroll costs to similar reported costs in 2014 and obtain the Provider's explanations for five variances as there was a change in ownership from 2014 to 2015.

Property

1. We compared the Provider's procedures regarding capitalization of fixed assets used for preparing *Schedule D, Capital Cost Center; Schedule D-1, Analysis of Property, Plant and Equipment* and *Schedule D-2, Capital Additions/Deletions* with Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7 and CMS Publication 15-1.

We found an inconsistency as the Provider did not determine a salvage value when calculating depreciation as required by CMS Publication 15-1, 104.19, which states "Virtually all assets have a salvage value substantial enough to be included in calculating depreciation, and only in rare instance is salvage value so negligible that it may be ignored."

Recommendation:

We recommend the Provider calculate a salvage value equal to 10 percent of historical cost when determining the initial net book value to be depreciated for each new capital asset purchase.

Property (Continued)

2. We compared capital assets and corresponding depreciation listed on *Schedule D, Schedule D-1* and *Schedule D-2* to the Provider's Fixed Asset report. We found no variances.
3. We did not test additions, renovations and/or deletions reported on *Schedule D-1* and *Schedule D-2* as none were reported on these schedules.
4. We reviewed the rent and lease agreements and determined if any related party lease costs were recorded in accordance with CMS Publication 15-1, Section 1011.5 and Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7-24(D) and that non-related leases meet the requirements of FASB 13 and Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7-24(B) and related FASB guidance on leasehold improvements. We found no variances.
5. We did not compare the renovation and financing costs in the Non-extensive Renovation Letter to *Schedule D-1* as there were no renovation and financing costs recorded on *Schedule E*.
6. We did not review the Depreciation Expense Report to test transportation expenses as no transportation costs were recorded on *Schedule D-1*.

Payroll

1. We compared all salary, fringe benefits and payroll tax entries and hours worked reported on the Provider's Detailed General Ledger Report and the Operation Summary – Wages By Location, Department and Employee report to the amounts reported on *Schedule B-1, Schedule B-2, Schedule C, Schedule C-1, Administrator's Compensation; and Schedule C-2, Owner's Relatives Compensation*. We found no variances.
2. We selected five employees reported on *Schedule B-1, Schedule B-2, Schedule C and Exhibit 3* and compared the Provider's job descriptions to the schedule in which each employee's salary and fringe benefit expenses were reported. We determined if the payroll costs were properly classified, allocated and allowable in accordance with Ohio Admin. Code § 5123:2-7 and CMS Publication 15-1, Chapter 9 and Section 2150. We found no variances on any schedule.
3. We did not compare the 2015 payroll costs to similar costs reported in 2014 and obtain the Provider's explanation for variances as there was a change in ownership from 2014 to 2015.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards. We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination or review, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or conclusion, respectively, on the Medicaid ICF-IID Cost Report. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported. This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Provider and the ODM, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than the specified parties.



Dave Yost
Auditor of State

November 23, 2017

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

Appendix A
 Res-Care Ohio, Inc. DBA Morning View Home #2
 2015 Income and Expenditure Report Adjustments

	Reported Amount	Correction	Corrected Amount	Explanation of Correction
Schedule C Indirect Care Cost Center				
7. Food In-Facility - 7040 - Other/Contract Wages (2)	\$ 19,700	\$ (708)	\$ 18,992	To correct Food-In Facility expense - no support provided
35. Housekeeping - 7245 - Other/Contract Wages (2)	\$ 2,798	\$ (165)	\$ 2,633	To correct Housekeeping expense - no support provided
68. Other Non-Reimbursable - Specify Below - 9725 - Other/Contract Wages (2)	\$ -	\$ 708	\$ 708	To correct Food-In Facility expense - no support provided
		\$ 165	\$ 873	To correct Housekeeping expense - no support provide

This page intentionally left blank.



Dave Yost • Auditor of State

RES-CARE OHIO INC. DAB MORNING VIEW HOME #2

MARION COUNTY

CLERK'S CERTIFICATION

This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.

Susan Babbitt

CLERK OF THE BUREAU

**CERTIFIED
DECEMBER 28, 2017**