



Dave Yost • Auditor of State





# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

## INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

Attica Independent Agricultural Society  
Seneca County  
15127 East Township Road 12  
P.O. Box 433  
Attica, Ohio 44807-0433

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, with which the Board of Directors and the management of Attica Independent Agricultural Society, Seneca County, Ohio (the Society), agreed, solely to assist the Board in evaluating receipts, disbursements and balances recorded in their cash-basis accounting records for the years ended November 30, 2012 and 2011, and certain compliance requirements related to these transactions and balances. Management is responsible for recording transactions; and management and the Board are responsible for complying with the compliance requirements. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants' attestation standards and applicable attestation engagement standards included in the Comptroller General of the United States' *Government Auditing Standards*. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report only describes exceptions exceeding \$10.

### Cash

1. We tested the mathematical accuracy of the November 30, 2012 and November 30, 2011 bank reconciliations. We found no exceptions.
2. We agreed the December 1, 2010 beginning fund balances recorded to the November 30, 2010 balances in documentation in the prior year Agreed-Upon Procedures working papers. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the December 1, 2012 beginning fund balances recorded to the November 30, 2012 balances. We found no exceptions.
3. We agreed the totals per the bank reconciliations to the total of the November 30, 2012 and 2011 fund cash balance reported in the Reconciliation Detail Report. The amounts agreed.
4. We confirmed the November 30, 2012 bank account balances with the Society's financial institution. We found no exceptions. We also agreed the confirmed balances to the amounts appearing in the November 30, 2012 bank reconciliation without exception.
5. We selected five reconciling debits (such as outstanding checks) haphazardly from the November 30, 2012 bank reconciliation:
  - a. We traced each debit to the subsequent December bank statement. We found no exceptions.

- b. We traced the amounts and dates to the check register, to determine the debits were dated prior to November 30. We noted no exceptions.
6. We selected all reconciling credits (such as deposits in transit) haphazardly from the November 30, 2012 bank reconciliation:
  - a. We traced each credit to the subsequent December bank statement. We found no exceptions.
  - b. We agreed the credit amounts to the Account QuickReport. Each credit was recorded as a November receipt for the same amount recorded in the reconciliation.
7. We tested interbank account transfers occurring in November of 2012 and 2011 to determine if they were properly recorded in the accounting records and on each bank statement. We found no exceptions.

#### **Intergovernmental and Other Confirmable Cash Receipts**

We selected all the receipts from the Distribution Transaction Detail Report (State DTL) for 2012 and 2011. We also selected all receipts from the Seneca County Auditor Vendor Audit Trail Reports from 2012 and five from 2011.

- a. We compared the amount from the above reports to the amount recorded in the Account QuickReport. The amounts agreed.
- b. We determined whether these receipts were allocated to the proper account code. We found no exceptions.
- c. We determined whether the receipts were recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

#### **Admission Receipts**

We haphazardly selected one day of admission cash receipts from the year ended November 30 2012 and one day of admission cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2011 recorded in the Account QuickReport and determined whether the receipt amount agreed to the supporting documentation (ticket recapitulation sheets/cash register tapes, etc). The amounts agreed.

#### **Rental Receipts**

We haphazardly selected 10 rental cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2012 and 10 rental cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2011 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the Account QuickReport. The amounts agreed.
- b. Amount charged complied with rates in force during the period. We found no exceptions.
- c. Receipt was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

### Over-The-Counter Cash Receipts

We haphazardly selected 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2012 and 10 over-the-counter cash receipts from the year ended November 30, 2011 recorded in the duplicate cash receipts book and determined whether the:

- a. Receipt amount agreed to the amount recorded in the Account QuickReport. The amounts agreed.
- b. Amount charged complied with rates in force during the period. We found no exceptions.
- c. Receipt was posted to the proper fund, and was recorded in the proper year. We found no exceptions.

### Debt

1. From the prior agreed-upon procedures documentation, we noted the following loans outstanding as of November 30, 2010. These amounts agreed to the Society's December 1, 2010 balances on the summary we used in step 3.

<b>Issue</b>	<b>Principal outstanding as of November 30, 2010:</b>
Gillet Mortgage	\$8,000
2006 Building Bonds	\$69,094

2. We inquired of management, and scanned the receipt and expenditure records for evidence of loan or credit agreements, and bonded, note, County, or mortgage debt issued during 2012 or 2011 or debt payment activity during 2012 or 2011. All debt noted agreed to the summary we used in step 3.
3. We obtained a summary of debt service payments including mortgage debt and loan agreements permitted by the Ohio Revised Code Section 1711.13 owed during 2012 and 2011 and agreed these payments from the Account QuickReport to the related debt amortization schedules. We also compared the date the debt service payments were due to the date the Society made the payments. We found no exceptions.
4. We inquired of management, scanned the Account QuickReport, and scanned the prior agreed upon procedure report and determined that the Society had loans outstanding from a prior year as permitted by Ohio Revised Code Section 1711.13(B). We examined the Society's computation supporting that the total net indebtedness from loans and credit did not exceed twenty-five percent of its annual revenues. The Society's total net indebtedness exceeded twenty-five percent of its annual revenues by \$3,385 in fiscal year 2011 contrary to the requirements of Ohio Revised Code Section 1711.13(B). We recommend the Society only borrow within the limits of the Ohio Revised Code.

### Non-Payroll Cash Disbursements

We haphazardly selected ten disbursements from the Account QuickReport for the year ended November 30, 2012 and ten from the year ended November 30, 2011 and determined whether:

- a. The disbursements were for a proper public purpose. We found no exceptions.

- b. The check number, date, payee name and amount recorded on the returned, canceled check agreed to the check number, date, payee name and amount recorded in the Account QuickReport and to the names and amounts on the supporting invoices. We found no exceptions.
- c. Based on the nature of the expenditure, the account coding is reasonable. We found no exceptions.

We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the Society's receipts, disbursements, balances and compliance with certain laws and regulations. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, those charged with governance, and others within the Society, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



**Dave Yost**  
Auditor of State

June 10, 2013



# Dave Yost • Auditor of State

**ATTICA INDEPENDENT AGRICULTURAL SOCIETY**

**SENECA COUNTY**

**CLERK'S CERTIFICATION**

**This is a true and correct copy of the report which is required to be filed in the Office of the Auditor of State pursuant to Section 117.26, Revised Code, and which is filed in Columbus, Ohio.**

*Susan Babbitt*

**CLERK OF THE BUREAU**

**CERTIFIED  
JUNE 27, 2013**