Appendix G: ESC Peer Groups

In 1996, ODE created classifications for different types of similar districts, referred to as the typology of Ohio school districts. To create this typology, ODE used several data sources to classify like districts together based on shared demographic and geographic characteristics. As a result, the classifications can serve as a basis for a stratified sample of districts in the state. These classifications also allow researchers to focus on a specific type of district, such as major urban districts or rural districts with high poverty. Eight typology categories were created for the 2013 typology (the most current). Below is a description of these eight district typologies.

ODE District Typology Descriptions

2013 Typology Code	Major Grouping	Full Descriptor	Districts Within Typology	Students Within Typology
1	Rural	Rural - High Student Poverty & Small Student Population	124	170,000
2	Rural	Rural - Average Student Poverty & Very Small Student Population	107	110,000
3	Small Town	Small Town - Low Student Poverty & Small Student Population	111	185,000
4	Small Town	Small Town - High Student Poverty & Average Student Population Size	89	200,000
5	Suburban	Suburban - Low Student Poverty & Average Student Population Size	77	320,000
6	Suburban	Suburban - Very Low Student Poverty & Large Student Population	46	240,000
7	Urban	Urban - High Student Poverty & Average Student Population	47	210,000
8	Urban	Urban - Very High Student Poverty & Very Large Student Population	8	200,000

Source: ODE

Districts are assigned typology ranging from rural with low student population to urban with very large student population. Using a weighted average each member district's assigned typology based on student enrollment, five groups of ESCs were created for comparative purposes and analysis within our study. Grouping ESCs in this manner allows for effective comparative analysis

Efficient Effective

¹ In 2007, the typology was revised to take advantage of the 2000 census data. With the availability of more recent data from the 2010 census and an increasing demand for analytic uses, ODE again revised the typology for 2013.

to be performed, as differences in ESCs resulting from environmental factors are normalized. Below is the results of the ESC groupings.

OPT Group Definitions

Group 2 - Weighted Avg. of Typology 1 & 2 Districts				
Athens-Meigs ESC	Muskingum Valley ESC			
Auglaize County ESC	Northwest Ohio ESC			
Brown County ESC	Ohio Valley ESC			
Columbiana County ESC	Preble County ESC			
Darke County ESC	Putnam County ESC			
East Central Ohio ESC	Ross-Pike ESC			
Gallia-Vinton ESC	South Central Ohio ESC			
Knox County ESC	Southern Ohio ESC			
Lawrence County ESC	Tri County ESC			
Madison-Champaign ESC	Western Buckeye ESC			
Mercer County ESC	·			
Group 3 – Weighted Avg. of Typology 3 Districts				
Ashtabula County ESC	Midwest Regional ESC			
Clermont County ESC	North Central Ohio ESC			
Geauga County ESC	North Point ESC			
Jefferson County ESC	Pickaway County ESC			
Miami County ESC	Trumbull County ESC			
Mid-Ohio ESC	Wood County ESC			
Group 4 - Weighted Avg. of Typology 4 Districts				
Allen County ESC	 Licking County ESC 			
Clark County ESC	 Mahoning County ESC 			
ESC of Medina County	Stark County ESC			
 Fairfield County ESC 	Summit ESC			
Hancock County ESC				
Group 5 - Weighted Avg. of Typology 5 Districts				
Butler County ESC	 Lake County ESC 			
ESC of Lake Erie West	Lorain County ESC			
Greene County ESC	Warren County ESC			
Group 6 - Weighted Avg. of Typology 6 Districts				
ESC of Central Ohio	 Hamilton County ESC 			
ESC of Northeast Ohio	Montgomery County ESC			

Source: ODE and ESCs

Using the weighted average typologies of each ESC's member districts, five groups were formed. These groups were used throughout the operational review to complete group-based comparisons where applicable.